

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	NO. 12-30339, 12-30337
Plaintiff- Appellant,)	D.C. No. 6:10-cr-60066-HO2
Vs)	D.C. IND. NO 10-60066-HD
DWIGHT LINCOLN HAMMOND,)	WRIT OF HABEUS CORPUS
STEVEN DWIGHT HAMMOND)	BY FRIEND OF THE COURT
Defendants –Appellees.)	JAMES J. O’HAGAN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF OREGON

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	CR No. 10-60066-HD
Plaintiff)	No. 6:10-cr-60066-AA
Vs.)	WRIT OF HABEUS CORPUS VIA
STEVEN DWIGHT HAMMOND and)	IMPLIED POWERS MOTION
DWIGHT LINCOLN HAMMOND, JR)	BY FRIEND OF THE COURT
Defendants)	JAMES J. O’HAGAN

COMES NOW JAMES J. O’HAGAN, the undersigned by the powers invested above questions how the courts can continue to hold the Hammonds in incarceration. I James J. O’Hagan swear under the penalty of perjury the following is true and correct.

In accordance with **18 USC section 1514 Civil Action to Restrain Harassment of a Victim or Witness.** I am requiring that each of you refrain from engaging in any actions that may be considered as threatening, harassing, intimidating or attacking me and any other witnesses or informants to the judicial crimes I expose here within. That includes maliciously attacking me, any of my family members or any other witnesses or informants on appeals that I or they have presented or may present to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals.

In accordance with our **United States Constitution Amendment XI Restriction of Judicial Powers** I am continuing to restrict your judicial powers over me, to that of only allowing

you to send arguments to a jury to determine the facts. In restricting your judicial powers I do not give you any authority what so ever to determine any facts or withhold laws, information, evidence or witnesses from the jury. Essentially **I am not granting you the powers to play any lawyer, judicial industry games with me or any of the witnesses or informants attempting to address the judicial crimes I expose here within.** I am requiring that all of you refrain from any actions that may be perceived as preventing anyone from accessing the Harney County Jury Roll Call Index to call up a Grand Jury Investigation into the judicial crimes and corruption that is exposed here within.

I talked to local ranchers in the Burns area. I talked to local ranchers in and around the Malheur Wildlife Refuge. I talked to Hammond family members. I talked to local business men and women in the Burns area. I attended several town meetings regarding the Hammonds conviction and resentencing. I talked to the individuals holding the Malheur in hostile adverse possession. I discussed the situation with media personnel. I read and highlighted problems with the Grand Jury Indictment of the Hammonds, presented by U.S. prosecutors Dwight C. Holton and Kirk A. Engdall and filed 6/17/2010,. I read and highlighted problems with the Federal Prosecutor Kelley A. Zusman's Opposition to Motion To Dismiss Appeal, dated 1/25/2013. I read and highlighted problems with Federal Prosecutors S. Amanda Marshall and Kelley A. Zusman's Opening Brief Of The Plaintiff United States dated 3/5/2013. I read and highlighted problems in the transcript of the resentencing hearing for the Hammonds dated 10/7/2015 in which the Honorable Ann Aiken presided over.

After studying the situation in depth I have concluded the Hammonds were victims of ineffective council, and a bad faith judicial industry that has nothing to do with justice and everything to do with a perverted judicial industry that allows attorneys to profit off of malicious, bad faith decisions of public officials and public employees. **Like the people of Harney County and Judge Hogan who presided over the Hammonds trials, I too concluded the Hammonds are not domestic terrorists.**

If anyone involved in this are domestic terrorists, it is the civil conspiracy made up of the BLM management, the Federal Prosecutors and Federal Judges who are all determined to expand the Malheur Wildlife Refuge by attacking one vulnerable rancher at a time. The

attorneys who profited from supporting these organized crimes by the act of omission and not exposing them, are as guilty of domestic terrorism as the individuals who organized and led the attacks on these vulnerable ranchers. The Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals Judges are the leaders of the organized criminal attacks, and are instigating organized criminal attacks on all of the economically vulnerable ranchers in and around the Malheur Wildlife Refuge.

Each and every attorney who is in line to profit from stealing the life, liberty and property under the guise he is defending the next economically vulnerable rancher is directly involved in and an active participant in these organized crimes. All of these top ranking federal officials organized to use their official position to criminally expand the Malheur Wildlife Refuge by attacking the Hammonds ability to try to earn a living, by keeping them from using the best management practices available to them.

These federal employees who are being paid by the sacrifices the Hammonds made in paying taxes and lease agreements cannot allow a well informed independent Grand Jury to investigate their highly organized criminal actions, of stealing their lives, liberties and properties under color of law. Everything these top ranking public officials own and earn depends on them holding an iron clad grip over the truth and preventing the truths from being revealed and their involvement in the organized crimes. I am certain they are determined to conceal the public corruption involved and prevent the truths from being determined by an independent Grand Jury investigation.

Clearly selective prosecution was involved in BLM managers and employees who attacked the Hammonds, whereas the BLM managers and employees admitted to doing the same thing the Hammonds did, they burnt the Hammonds lands and were not tried for their actions. Instead of BLM management being tried for their actions they pushed the prosecution of the Hammonds for the same acts they did. These same BLM managers have been involved in attacking other economically vulnerable ranchers next to the Mahler Wildlife Refuge. These BLM managers have an attitude because they are aware that they have the strong arm of the federal government behind them. They are nothing more than hired thugs for the top ranking Federal Judicial branch members that know their fellow state and federal bar members can

profit from stealing these individuals lives, liberties and properties and they can expand the Malheur Wildlife Refuge boundaries by doing so.

After studying the situation it is clear the Hammonds should've been protected by **18 USC section 1951 Interference with Commerce by Threats or Violence** from the conspiracy to interfere or sabotage their ability to engage in commerce and try to earn a living using the best management practices available to them. After studying the Grand Jury Indictment it is clear the Hammonds Grand Jury did not have laws and evidence submitted to them that would've justified the Hammonds actions and in fact would've placed the Grand Jury in a position where they would've had to indict the federal BLM employees and federal prosecutors on far greater criminal charges that they were considering indicting the Hammonds for, specifically 18 USC section 1951 Interference with Commerce by Threats or Violence and 18 USC section 1855 Burning timber lands etc. The exemption paragraph in 18 USC section 1855 allowed the Hammonds to engage in burning practices if it was a necessity to increase soil fertility and their best management ranching practices, which was not presented to the Grand Jury or adequately argued before the Grand Jury.

Clearly the beneficial effects of burning the ranch lands was not addressed. Whereas in discussing the burning of the dead grass on the ranch lands with local ranchers, it is clear to me that it is a beneficial practice that goes way back. It is not a usual and accustomed practice the Hammonds originated. In fact the fire fighters and human intervention with road construction and building of waterways interfere regularly with mother nature's wildfires in the area. With my little knowledge of soil fertility as a farmer, I know the fires produce much needed potash and carbon to the land. I contend that if a proper study was conducted science would be on the side of the Hammonds and the BLM employees that were defiantly trying to prevent the Hammonds usual and accustomed ranching practices were actually engaging in manmade actions to interfere with soil fertility. Increasing soil fertility be it by mother nature or by mans assistance to mother nature is a good thing for all wildlife, as it provides food and nourishment for them. Had this argument been adequately presented to the jury the jury (who struggled to obtain a verdict) could not have consciously determined the Hammonds had **damaged** the land

by their usual and accustomed fires. The key words in the law they used against the Hammonds is **damaged property**.

The Hammonds attorney pointed out to the court the Hammonds were very vocal in the community about expressing the need to burn the grasslands, yet they provided no argument or expert witnesses to the court whether or not the burning of the grasslands was beneficial to soil fertility or the environment. The Hammond's attorney did not point out the BLM managers with their aggressive firefighting practices were interfering with mother nature's natural improvement of soil conditions.

Herein lies the problem, the BLM managers and employees did not have any scientific support for their actions, and were engaging in malicious actions towards the Hammonds that caused bad attitudes. The malicious actions of the BLM managers were and are a result of big ugly strong arm governmental tactics, and their desire to expand the Malheur Wildlife Refuge. The judicial branches specifically the judicial industry that has nothing to do with justice and everything to do with making money for attorneys from stealing economically vulnerable individuals lives, liberties and properties is directly responsible for the bad attitudes and maliciousness of BLM managers and employees.

An independent Grand Jury needs to determine if science is on the side of the Hammonds or if science is on the side of the BLM employees and other environmental terrorist who sought the incarceration of the Hammonds. If an independent Grand Jury determines science is in fact on the side of the Hammonds best management practices then the Grand Jury needs to identify all the individuals who assembled to sabotage the Hammond's right to attempt to earn a living and indict all of them on interfering with the Hammonds ability to engage in commerce. This includes the Hammonds attorneys, the federal prosecutors, the BLM employees and all of the Federal Judges, including the Ninth Circuit Judges who were required to know better than to engage in or lead organized crimes themselves. It is twenty years to life imprisonment for these individuals. We cannot have and protect the integrity of our courts, and have judges leading organized crimes of fellow state and federal bar members, to enhance their judicial industry at the price of sacrificing justice, it not only ruins the integrity of our courts, but it attacks our entire countries domestic tranquility.

The truth matters, and any effort to conceal the truth to protect criminal acts of public employees does only one thing it enhances the judicial industry that has nothing to do with justice and everything to do with instigating, enticing and enhancing public corruption.

Civil conspiracy exists when two or more combine to accomplish an unlawful purpose or to accomplish a lawful purpose by unlawful means. Sound Mind and Body Inc. V. City of Seattle122 Wn. 1074 (2004)

Appellate documents, document the facts that : “ After deliberating for several hours, the jury advised the court that it was unable to reach a verdict on counts 1 Conspiracy as to both defendants, and counts 7 and 8. The court discussed with the parties the option of accepting a partial verdict or asking the jury to continue its deliberations. The court ultimately directed the jury to enter a partial verdict, from which the Hammonds agreed to a guilty plea for time served.

The Honorable Judge Hogan who sat through the Hammonds trials, refused to impose the five year mandatory- minimum sentences finding that “to do so under the Eighth Amendment would result in a sentence which is grossly disproportionate to the severity of the offences here. After sitting through the trials Judge Hogan believed that the defendants’ offense conduct **“ could not have been conduct intended under (section 844 (f)(1) which was enacted as part of the Antiterrorism and effective death penalty Act of 1996. Judge Hogan continued to say When you say, you know , what if you burn sagebrush in the suburbs of Los Angeles where there are houses up those ravines ? Might apply. Out in the wilderness here, I don’t think that’s what the Congress intended. And in addition, it just would not be – would not meet any idea I have of justice, proportionality. ... But this (five year minimum sentence) it would be a sentence which would shock the conscience to me.** Judge Hogan imposed 3 months on Dwight and 12 months and a day on Steven, which is a far cry from five years. In doing this Judge Hogan documented the fact that the Hammonds had ineffective council and that prosecutorial misconduct was involved in the prosecution of the Hammonds whereas there were lesser criminal codes, and arguments that should’ve been presented to the jurors, for them to evaluate.

The Hammonds Grand Jury indictment of domestic terrorism involved prosecutorial misconduct and ineffective council, whereas the attorneys and prosecutors conspired together to withhold information and laws from the Hammonds Grand Jury that would've exonerated the Hammonds and exposed the criminal actions of the federal employees involved in persecuting the Hammonds. In reading all of the documents I identified above it's obvious to me that the Hammonds agreed to a plea agreement under duress.

The Hammonds only agreed to plead guilty to domestic terrorism for time served, when threatened and Judge Hogan who sat on the Hammonds trial commented on how he thought the domestic terrorism charge was above the actions the Hammonds engaged in. After the Hammonds attorney, the federal prosecutor who led the grand jury investigation, Judge Hogan who presided over the trials and the Hammonds all agreed to plead guilty for light sentences, federal prosecutors who were not involved in the Grand Jury investigation or prosecuting the Hammonds, petitioned the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals judges to increase the sentence of the Hammonds' by 5 years. At the time they made their appeal these prosecutors knew the Hammonds should not have been subjected to domestic terrorism codes, and the acts of omission are criminal acts by these prosecutors, attorneys and judges.

In reading thorough the appellate documents that led to the resentencing of the Hammonds, clearly the federal prosecutors pushing the appeal were not involved in any of the Hammonds trials. In a swoop of their pen U.S. Prosecutors S. Amanda Marshall and Kelley A. Zusman subjected the Hammonds to double jeopardy and forced them into a Hobson's Choice which amounts to no choice at all.

A "Hobsons Choice" occurs when a person is offered what is equivalent of no choice at all. Cited in part at (31) State v. Chen 119 Wash. App. 1013 (Wash. App. Div. 2 11/13/2003)

Instead of studying the Hammonds trial transcripts and Judge Hogan's conclusions of law, and looking at laws and facts that would've exonerated the Hammonds actions including but not limited to 18 USC section 1951 and 18 USC section 1855 these two prosecutors decided on their own that they would ignore what the trial judge determined was justice and personally engaged in personal dilatory tactics to extend their sentences.

Clearly the Hammonds are victims of prosecutorial misconduct, ineffective council and fraud upon the courts by officers of the court of which there are no statute of limitations, res judicata, collateral estoppel or latches that can hold them in prison. Had these two prosecutors been honest, forthright ethically or morally correct they would've suggested to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals that the Hammonds actions did not fit the crimes they were prosecuted for and submitted lesser criminal codes the Court of Appeals could've considered. Dishonesty, deception, deceit, omission, maliciousness, fraud are all considered criminal acts the prosecutors and attorneys engaged in.

Kalina v. Fletcher U.S. Supreme Court no. 96-792 (overruling Ninth Cir.) 12/10/1997
prosecutors are only entitled to qualified immunity.

Figueroa v. Clark 810,F. Supp. 613 (E.D. Pa. 11/5/1992 A prosecutorial misconduct action in
Federal court may lead to state court action, and eventually release of prisoner.

Beightol v. Kunowsky D.C. Pa. 1974 382 F. Supp. 98 (the Court held) "Absent highly unusual
circumstances defenses of Executive Immunity and Good Faith by public officials in carrying
out duties should be submitted to jury"

Judge Hogan who sat on the Hammonds trial retired and Judge Ann Aiken took control over the Hammonds fate without having any part in the Hammonds trial, and increased the Hammonds sentence to 5 years. Clearly the Hammonds were duped and defrauded by the Federal Judicial Branch, all in violation of our laws. Judge Ann Aiken acted in violation of federal law whereas: **In accordance with Federal Rules of Procedure Rule 63, Inability of a Judge to Proceed** whenever a judge obtains a disability and cannot bring a case to finality, either party has an opportunity to question any new judge who attempts to assume precedence over them, thus the Hammonds were entitled to ask Judge Ann Aiken, if she was going to rescind on their plea agreement with judge Hogan. By law Judge Ann Aiken was bound to answer them honestly, and if the Hammonds did not like her answer they had a right to reject her ability to have any authority over them what so ever, or enter into an agreement with her to redo everything that was done by former Judge Hogan. It is the law and Judge Ann Aiken was bound to inform them of their right to question her before assuming any authority over them. In reading through the transcript of the Hammonds resentencing hearing it is very clear that she

did not inform them of their right to question her or their right to have another trial. Judge Ann Aiken acted without any authority to act. Who is criminal here.

Due process requires that when government adjudicated or make binding determinations which directly affect legal rights of individuals, they use procedures which have traditionally been associated with the judicial process.” Amos Treat and Co. V. Securities & Exchange Commission 306 F2d 260 (1962), 113 US App. D.C. 100.

Continental Casualty v. United States, 113 F2d 284 5th Cir. 1940 “public officers are merely the agents of the public, whose powers and authority are defined and limited by law. Any act without the scope of the authority so defined does not bind the principal, and all the persons.... dealing with such, agents are charged with knowledge of the extent of their authority.

Sittler v Board of Control of Michigan college of Mining and Technology, 333 Mich. 681, 53N.W. 2d 681, 684 (1952) “the extent of the authority of the people’s agents is measured by the statute from which they derive their authority, not by their own acts and assumption of authority”

Tulsa Exposition and Fair Corp. v Board of County Commissioners 468 P.2d 501, 507 Ok 1970 “Public officers possess only such authority as is conferred upon them by law and such authority must be exercised in the manner provided by law”

The judges of the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals are directly responsible for creating bad attitudes and maliciousness of public employees because of their desire to enhance and protect the judicial industry that has nothing to do with justice and everything to do with enhancing a judicial industry for their fellow state and federal bar members. The judges who sold out justice for their judicial industry are directly attacking our domestic tranquility and as such are directly attacking our constitutional form of government. While attacking our domestic tranquility is very good for all lawyer’s pocket books, by continually making arguments between men and women, it is very evil and destructive for our society and mankind. It needs to end, we need to have equal protections of our laws and the idea of continually extending immunities to all public officials and employees has to end. No more “Nobility”, no more immunity.

Every single person involved in the persecution and incarceration of the Hammonds' should have their public bonds forfeited, their public benefits forfeited, prevented from ever holding any form of public office or employment ever again and face a possible Grand Jury indictment for their organized crimes in attacking the Hammonds' attempt to earn a living, pay taxes and provide financial support to our governments. All government officials and employees better be dam certain they are doing the absolute right thing when they attack individuals that are economically sacrificing to support their employment. If there is any reason of doubt involved, it is maliciousness on the part of the public officials and employees to attack these individuals and **they are the domestic terrorists**.

Roadway Express v. Pipe 447 US 752 at 757 (1982) “ Due to sloth, inattention or desire to seize tactical advantage, lawyers have long engaged in dilatory practices.... the glacial pace of much litigation breeds frustration with the Federal Courts and ultimately, disrespect for the law.”

In accordance with 18 USC section 4, **Misprision of Felony** I am obligated by law to expose the corruption involved and find out how high up the government chain the crimes go that interfered with these individuals attempts to earn livings. A jury needs to determine if the federal prosecutors involved in persecuting the Hammonds are part of a civil conspiracy to expand the Malheur. A jury needs to determine if the federal Judges involved in persecuting and incarcerating the Hammonds are part of a civil conspiracy to expand the Malheur. A jury needs to determine if the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals Judges are part of a civil conspiracy to expand the Malheur. A jury needs to see all of the charities these individuals contribute to and belong to. A jury needs to see all of the lobbyist these individuals contribute to. A jury needs to see how many times these individuals extended immunities to public officials and public employees so they could enhance their bad faith judicial industry. A jury needs to determine if these individuals had a conflict of interest from the get go and as such were doing nothing but obstructing justice. A jury needs to determine if these individuals were making an example out of the Hammonds so they could underhandedly execute their organized criminal effort to expand the Malheur Wildlife Refuge. In accordance with **18 USC sections 1512 & 1513 harassing, threatening and intimidating victims witnesses and informants** a jury needs to

determine if any one of these BLM managers, federal employees, federal prosecutors and federal judges involved in this including the Ninth Circuit Appellate judges that attacked the Hammond's ability to earn a living are members of the Audubon Society who are determined to expand the Malheur and are rallying to run the individuals holding hostile adverse possession of the Malheur Wildlife refuge off before the truths can be obtained.

A jury needs to determine if any of them had actual jurisdiction and venue over the Hammonds or if they assumed jurisdiction over habitual fraudulent actions and thefts, that stole these lands from the people of Harney County.

in re Benny , 29 B.R. 754, 762 (N.D. Cal. 1983 “an unlawful or unauthorized exercise of power does not become legitimated or authorized by reason of habitude”

I and others are trying to call forth a Harney County local Grand Jury to investigate the corruption involved in the persecution and incarceration of the Hammonds and determine the facts. I am ready and willing to testify that the Federal District Court Judges, and the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals Judges regularly engage in patterns of falsities, they have reckless indifferences to the truth, willful disregards to the truths and have disrespect for the truths. I am ready and willing to testify to the fact that the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals judges are instigating Federal District Judges to engage in patterns of falsities, engage in reckless indifferences to the truth, and to have a willful disregards and disrespects for the truths. I am ready and willing to support and prove my accusations with evidence from my personal experiences with the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, and the Federal District Courts.

When the people of Harney County go into the Harney county clerk's office and request access to the Jury Roll Call Index, are denied access, to the people of Harney county by the judicial branch of Harney County we will expose how the judicial branches have unconstitutionally assumed control over the people and are determined to hold a iron clad grip over the people. In the event the people of Harney County are refused access to the jury roll call index to ascertain the truths, by an independent jury of Harney County, this will leave the people no option except to move forward with a Common Law Grand Jury as described in Implied Powers – proceeding when mode not described.

Once exposed these patterns of falsities, reckless indifferences to the truths, willful disregard and disrespect of the truths by Federal Judges and Appellate Court Judges will show the integrity of the courts is seriously damaged. In an effort to conceal the judges reckless indifferences to the truths the judges who are engaging in these fraud crimes are now using injunctions and sanctions to attempt to conceal their criminal actions to obstruct justice and arrive at the truths. First a judge engages in obstruction of justice, by having a willful disregard and disrespect for the truth, then the judge engages in patterns of falsities with attorneys dilatory practices that are designed to conceal the truths, and enhance the judicial industry, by milking life, liberty and property out of their clients, then throw them under the bus when they have stolen as much as they could from them. It is predatory activities by predators that prey on the lives, liberties and property of vulnerable individuals. It does not get any more criminal than this. And it needs to end here and now.

"Immunity fosters neglect and breeds irresponsibility, while liability promotes care and caution, which caution and care is owed by the government to its people." Rabon v. Rowen Memorial Hosp., Inc., 269 NS 1, 13, 152 SE 1d 485, 493(1967)

With this document I am putting all of the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals Judges on notice that they are the leaders of the organized criminal actions of the Federal Judicial Branch in the Ninth Circuit. The Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals Judges are fully aware their fellow state and federal bar members are violating the separations of powers and are holding offices in the legislative and executive branches of our governments. The Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals Judges know how illegal this is but they also know that it protects their Nobility, provides them immunities, allows their organized criminal actions and enhances their judicial industry that preys on stealing the lives, liberties and properties of vulnerable individuals. The judicial branches have become so well organized and corrupt that they hold a firm grip and complete control over all the other branches of our governments including the fourth branch the people. It is tyranny and is a direct attack on our constitutional form of government and all of our society's domestic tranquility.

The violations of the separations of powers have created a judicial industry that creates laws that creates arguments between men so their membership can profit from the arguments.

How perverted is this, and every time someone asks what is wrong with our government everyone of us needs to point the finger at the judicial branch and ask why do we allow violations to the separations of powers when we know it is the main ingredient to create tyranny. Those of us who did not know it, will soon know where the problems are coming from that is attacking our domestic tranquility. In order to feed the predatory judicial industry every vulnerable individual's life, liberty and property is in up for grabs by these predators, and this attacks our entire countries domestic tranquility. It is an attack on our constitutional form of government that needs to end. The violations of the separation of powers by judicial branch members infiltrating the other branches of our governments has created an oligarchy to economically enhance judicial branch members, and make them the "elite" of our society.

If any of you I identify herein have you used your official position in accordance with your oath of office then you have nothing to fear from a jury reviewing your actions. On the other hand if you have violated your oath of office and used your official position to pursue a personal agenda to lead organized efforts to steal lives, liberties and properties from economically vulnerable individuals, and transfer those stolen lives to your fellow members in the judiciaries, then you deserve whatever punishment a jury determines you are entitled to. I know and you know in accordance with your oath of office you have always had an opportunity to allow an independent jury to determine any contested facts. On the other hand if you used your official position in a manner to conceal the truths and prevent a jury from determining the facts to enforce your personal agenda then you are violating your oath of office and leading organized crimes intended to obstruct justice.

I contend the appellate court judges are regularly using their official position to enhance their and their fellow state and federal bar members' judicial industry by engaging in patterns of falsities and preventing independent juries from determining the truths, especially when public corruption is involved and when their fellow state and federal bar members (including judges) have engaged in criminal actions. You are running a "we got your backs thing for public officials" that while it greatly enhances your judicial industry it is a direct attack on justice, our constitutional form of government and our domestic tranquility. It is felony subversive attacks on our constitutional form of government.

Everyone in the United States needs to focus on how corrupt and criminal it all is. It is the epitome of organized crime and the senior crime bosses are the upper echelon of the judicial branches, the appellate court judges and the Supreme Court Justices. We as a society cannot allow the violations of the separations of powers to continue. In order to end the judicial industry that caters to the elite and preys off of the lives, liberties and properties of economically vulnerable individuals we need to fix the judicial branches. The judicial branches are creating and supporting nobility and the elite at the expense of justice for all economically vulnerable individuals. Justice is broken and in order to fix justice we cannot continue to allow any members judiciary to hold offices in our legislative and executive branches ever again, we have to enforce the violations of the separation of powers that is occurring and since it has gotten so far out of hand we may need the assistance of our U.S. Military to help us do that, as identified in 18 USC section 4.

How dare any of you parasites that are living off of taxpayers, imply a 72 year old taxpayer who has been paying taxes all of his life, imply he is a domestic terrorist. It is mortally incorrect against all professional ethics and all of you should be ashamed of yourselves and sit in his jail cell and think about what you have done.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

I pray you will honor your oaths of office and refrain from any attacks on our constitutional form of government and with the powers we have entrusted you with you will enter orders reflecting the following:

Violating the separation of powers directly attacks our constitutional form of governments.

Violating the separation of powers removes, interferes with, and prevents the checks and balances over the various branches of our governments that is necessary for our governments to responsibly perform their duties to the people they serve.

Therefore we are providing a onetime one chance amnesty to all members of the judiciary who are holding offices in the legislative and executive branches of our governments, and we are requiring them to forfeit either office for life.

Furthermore if any person attempts to violate the separation of powers and attempts to hold office in multiple branches of our governments in the future they shall be tried for high crimes of treason against our governments and the people.

Furthermore each and every lawyer who engages in any predatory actions to steal life, liberties and property of any economically vulnerable individual shall forfeit their public bonds and insurance and forever be prevented from holding any public office again.

Therefore if any person argues any attorney has violated public trust, and damaged them it is not for us, fellow members of the judiciary to determine, it is a factual matter for a jury to determine.

Therefore all attorney malpractice and or ineffective council and or prosecutorial misconduct arguments will be presented to an independent Grand Jury to determine without delays, or dilatory tactics of attorneys, which is necessary to protect our society's domestic tranquility.

In the Hammonds case ineffective council and prosecutorial misconduct has been rationally raised, and since these official duties are matters for the people to determine we order that an independent Grand Jury is called upon to investigate these matters.

Furthermore since the Hammonds showed good faith in responding to their resentencing hearing and reporting for incarceration, we have no reason to believe they will act indifferently, so we are ordering them to be released on probation until an independent Grand Jury can determine if they were subjected to ineffective council or prosecutorial misconduct.

If the independent Harney county Grand Jury determines the Hammonds were subjected to ineffective council and prosecutorial misconduct a monetary judgment should be entered against all individuals who sought to persecute and incarcerate the Hammonds and all of them should forfeit their public office and employment and should be forever prohibited from holding or influencing any public office or employment in the future.

Dated this ___ day of January 2016.

By _____

James J. O'Hagan, All Rights Reserved
2298 Cranberry Rd., Grayland WA 98547
(360) 267-7911, wayoutwest1@hotmail.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I JAMES J. O'HAGAN swear under the penalty of perjury of the United States that I mailed and / or emailed copies of my above identified Friend of the Courts brief to the following:

U.S. Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals
P.O. Box 193939
San Francisco CA 94119-3939

Chief Justice for the District of Oregon c/o
U.S. District Court Clerk
405 East Eighth Ave.
Eugene Oregon 97401

Office of Public Corruption
935 Pennsylvania Ave NW
Washington DC 20535-001

Office of FBI for the State of Oregon
620 SW Main St
Portland OR 97205

Oregon Dept. of Justice
1162 Court St. NE
Salem OR 97301-4096

Hon. Judge Grasety, & Dist. Attn. Tim Colahan
450 N. Buena Vista Ave.
Burns OR 97720

Sheriff David Ward
485 N. Court Ave #6
Burns OR 97720

114th Congress Subcom. on
Courts Commercial & Adm. Law
517 Cannon H.O.B.
Washington D.C. 20515

114th Congress Subcom. on
the Constitution
H2-362 Ford H.O.B.
Washington D.C. 20515

114th Congress Subcom. on
Adm. Oversight and Courts
224 Dirksen Senate Office Bldg.
Washington D.C. 20510

U.S. Dist. Attorneys
S. Amanda Marshall &
Kelley A. Zusman
1000 SW 3rd Ave Suite 600
Portland OR 97204-2902

The Joint Chiefs of Staff
General Dunford, Chief of Staff
9999 Joint Staff Pentagon
Washington D.C. 20318-9999

To: Office of Judge Advocate
100 Col. Joe Jackson Blvd. Bld. 100 Suite 1082
Joint Base Lewis-McChord WA 98438

Harney County Committee of Safety
via email
Hammond Family via email

Dated this ____ day of January 2016

By _____
James J. O'Hagan pro se All Rights Reserved
2298 Cranberry Rd. ,Grayland WA 98547
(360) 267-7911 Wayoutwest1@hotmail.com